Annexure -I

Sr. no.	Plant Name	Capacity (KWp)	Con. Demand (KVA)	Actual CUF		Concerns
1	Bapunagar	360	750	8.87%	i. ii.	Less Contract Load Frequent tripping of Inverters due to RPR
2	Maninagar	20	200	14.03%		
3	Naroda	100	200	7.79%	i.	Customer is shifting LT panel
4	Gandhinagar	30	67	13.58%		
5	Jamnagar-1	80	165		i. ii.	Less Contract Load Frequent tripping of Inverters due to RPR
6	Jamnagar-2	30	65.3	3.95%	i. ii.	Less Contract Load Frequent tripping of Inverters due to RPR
7	Rajkot	50	100	3.79%	i. ii.	Less Contract Load Frequent tripping of Inverters due to RPR
8	Vapi	23.5	50	8.37%	i. ii.	Less Contract Load Frequent tripping of Inverters due to RPR
9	Ankleshwar	350	700	6.02%	i. ii.	Less Contract Load Frequent tripping of Inverters due to RPR
10	Jagadiya	250	5000	5.15%	i. ii.	Less Contract Load Frequent tripping of Inverters due to RPR
11	Baroda	65	130	5.06%	i. ii.	Less Contract Load Frequent tripping of Inverters due to RPR
Total		1358.5				

Therefore, in view of the above stated, if the rooftop projects allocated under MNRE Guidelines are not accorded Net metering approvals and issues persist, we would request you to kindly issue a necessary notification allowing the developers to allocate the feasible sites where the affected capacity can be shifted. Alternatively, developers be allowed to sell the REIL rooftop projects to private players where Net-metering is not allowed by the concerned agency without any additional charges.